Types of Attitude Measurement

Dr. Indu Kumari

Assistant Professor

PG Department of Psychology

M.B.R.R.V.Pd.S. College, Ara

UG - Semester - 2

MJC – 2

Types of Attitude Measurement

Introduction

In psychology, **attitude** refers to a **relatively enduring organization of beliefs, feelings, and behavioral tendencies toward socially significant objects, groups, events, or symbols**. Attitudes play a crucial role in shaping **human behavior, social interactions, judgments, and decision-making**.

Since attitudes cannot be **directly observed**, psychologists use **scientific methods and standardized tools** to measure them. The process of attitude measurement involves **quantifying opinions, beliefs, feelings, and behavioral tendencies** in a reliable and valid manner.

Various **methods and techniques** have been developed to measure attitudes, which can broadly be classified into:

1. **Direct Methods (Self-report techniques)**
2. **Indirect Methods (Projective and physiological techniques)**
3. **Behavioral Methods**

1. Direct Methods of Attitude Measurement (Self-report Techniques)

These methods involve **direct questioning**, where individuals express their own attitudes through **verbal or written responses**. These are the **most widely used and scientifically developed methods**.

A. Likert Scale

Developed by **Rensis Likert (1932)**, the Likert scale is the **most popular and widely used method** of attitude measurement.

Description

- It consists of a series of **statements** related to the attitude object.
- Respondents indicate their level of agreement or disagreement using a **5-point or 7-point scale**.

Example

1. Strongly Agree
2. Agree
3. Neutral
4. Disagree
5. Strongly Disagree

Advantages

- Easy to construct
- Simple to administer
- High reliability
- Suitable for group testing

Limitations

- Social desirability bias
- Response set (tendency to agree or disagree regardless of content)

B. Thurstone Scale (Equal-Appearing Interval Scale)

Proposed by **L. L. Thurstone (1928)**.

Description

- A large number of statements related to an attitude object are collected.
- Experts rate these statements for favorableness.
- Only selected statements with assigned scale values are used.
- Respondents check statements they agree with.

Advantages

- High objectivity

- Accurate measurement

Limitations

- Time-consuming
- Requires expert judges
- Difficult construction

C. Guttman Scale (Cumulative Scale)

Developed by **Louis Guttman**.

Description

- Statements are arranged from **least intense to most intense**.
- Agreement with a strong statement implies agreement with all weaker ones.

Advantages

- Measures degree or intensity of attitude
- Clear hierarchical structure

Limitations

- Difficult to construct
- Limited flexibility

D. Semantic Differential Scale

Developed by **Charles E. Osgood**.

Description

- Consists of **bipolar adjective pairs**.
- Respondents rate an object on a scale between opposite adjectives.

Example

Good ↔ Bad
Strong ↔ Weak
Active ↔ Passive

Advantages

- Measures emotional meaning

- Multidimensional
- Easy to understand

Limitations

- Interpretation differences
- Cultural influence

2. Indirect Methods of Attitude Measurement

These methods attempt to measure **hidden, unconscious, or socially sensitive attitudes** where direct questioning may not produce truthful responses.

A. Projective Techniques

These techniques involve **ambiguous stimuli** that allow individuals to project their hidden feelings and attitudes.

1. Word Association Test

- Respondent gives the first word that comes to mind.
- Reveals emotional reactions and unconscious attitudes.

2. Sentence Completion Test

- Incomplete sentences are provided.
- Individual completes them freely.

3. Thematic Apperception Test (TAT)

- Respondents create stories based on ambiguous pictures.
- Reveals deeper attitudes and motivations.

Advantages

- Reduces faking
- Useful for sensitive topics

Limitations

- Subjective interpretation
- Low reliability

B. Physiological Methods

Attitudes can also be measured using **physiological responses**.

Examples

- Galvanic Skin Response (GSR)
- Heart rate
- Blood pressure
- Pupil dilation
- EEG

Advantages

- Objective
- Less manipulation

Limitations

- Expensive
- Requires specialized equipment
- Interpretation difficulty

3. Behavioral Methods of Attitude Measurement

These methods infer attitudes from **observable behavior**.

A. Overt Behavior Observation

- Observes actual behavior in natural settings.
- Example: Seating distance, helping behavior, facial expressions.

B. Sociometric Techniques

- Measures preferences and rejections within groups.
- Useful in classrooms, organizations, and social groups.

Advantages

- Real-life relevance
- High ecological validity

Limitations

- Time-consuming
- Behavior may not always reflect true attitude

4. Implicit Attitude Measures (Modern Methods)

These methods measure **automatic and unconscious attitudes**.

Implicit Association Test (IAT)

- Measures reaction time in associating concepts.
- Faster association indicates stronger implicit attitude.

Advantages

- Reveals unconscious biases
- High scientific validity

Limitations

- Requires computer-based testing
- Complex interpretation

Comparison of Major Methods

Method	Nature	Main Feature
Likert Scale	Direct	Agreement rating
Thurstone Scale	Direct	Equal intervals
Guttman Scale	Direct	Cumulative
Semantic Differential	Direct	Bipolar adjectives
Projective	Indirect	Hidden attitudes
Physiological	Indirect	Bodily responses
Behavioral	Indirect	Observable behavior
IAT	Indirect	Reaction time

Conclusion

The measurement of attitude is a **central task in psychological assessment and social research**. No single method is perfect; each has **its own strengths and limitations**. Therefore,

psychologists often use **multiple methods together** to ensure **greater accuracy, reliability, and validity**. Understanding these methods helps in **better prediction of behavior, improved social interventions, and effective psychological research**.